Approved Fare Release 2006 National CIA-RDP89-00244R000100160007-9

S Capital

Auministration Region Washington, DC 2-407

Date :

5 NOV 1981

Reply to Attn of :

Assistant Regional Administrator, PBS (WP)

Subject:

Finding of No Significant Impact - Central Intelligence Agency Master Plan

To

Acting Regional Administrator (WA)

Description of Action

The General Services Administration (GSA) and the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) have developed a Master Plan for expansion of the CIA headquarters in Langley, Virginia, in order to consolidate the CIA from leased locations. The plan proposes the following: construction of a Federal building with approximately a new parking structure for 2,525 cars, and a reception center at the Route I23 access roadway; expansion of the area outside the power plant to accommodate new equipment; revisions to the internal roadway; and new landscaping for the site.

STAT

Finding

Pursuant to the provisions of GSA Order ADM 1905.1C, GSA Order PBS P 1095.4A, and the regulations issued November 19, 1978, by the Council on Environmental Quality, this is to advise you of my finding, based on the attached environmental assessment and the areawide Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), that the Master Plan and construction of a new office building for the CIA at Langley, Virginia, are not considered a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment to a greater degree than the parameters established and discussed in the areawide EIS.

Basis for Finding

The potential additional development of the CIA/FHWA site was discussed in an areawide EIS (GSA Ten-Year Space Acquisition Program, National Capital Region, Areawide Environmental Impact Statement, August 1981, Draft).

The areawide EIS evaluated potential employment centers against seven major environmental elements: physical conditions (topography, land forms), transportation, urban systems (utilities), socio-economic factors, historic/aesthetic considerations, air quality, and sound levels.

STAT

feet per person). Assuming this full development level, the EIS found that potentially significant negative impacts might occur in three of the seven environmental elements: physical conditions (because of steep slopes on the edges of the site), transportation (because of already congested access points), and urban system (because of limited additional sewage treatment capacity for the region). Potentially minor negative impacts were identified for historic/aesthetic considerations, air quality, and sound levels.

2

The proposed Master Plan involves the addition of about one million square feet and a scale considerably smaller than the maximum development potential. As a result, the negative impacts of the Master Plan are less severe than those identified in the areawide EIS, and appropriate mitigation measures have been proposed.

STAT

Preparation of the environmental assessment revealed no unique or significant environmental effects that were not examined in the areawide EIS.

JOHN T. MYERS

Assistant Regional Administrator

Public Buildings Service

Enclosure

Approved:

Acting Regional Administrator (WA)

Date //-6-

